

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/218,916	12/22/1998	LARRY A. NICKUM	450.251US1	2458
21186 7	590 05/21/2002			
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 293 MINNEAPOL	938 DLIS, MN 55402		RAO, SHEELA S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2125	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BAX

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- अ			
Advisory Action	09/218,916	NICKUM, LARRY A.				
navicery rieden	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sheela Rao	2125				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address -				
THE REPLY FILED 23 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to ich places the application	n in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a)	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) as	n fee under set forth in			
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	erially reducing or simpli	fying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed ame	endment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See	or reconsideration has been consecutions	sidered but does NOT pla	ace the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were ne	wly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-23.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10.□ Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments made in the request have been addressed in the final rejection..

J. P. P. ...

LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100